UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,713	11/03/2006	Satoshi Kimura	TOMI 200012	3265
²⁷⁸⁸⁵ Fay Sharpe LLI	7590 08/19/200 •	EXAMINER		
1228 Euclid Av	enue, 5th Floor	PATIDAR, JAY M		
The Halle Building Cleveland, OH 44115			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2858	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/575,713	KIMURA, SATOSHI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAY M. PATIDAR	2858
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15 and 16 is/are wit 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 and 17-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2006 is/are: 4	thdrawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner.	by the Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list. 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/12/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2858

1. This communication is in response to applicant's response received on May 6, 2009.

- 2. Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on May 6, 2009 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- Claims 15-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
- 4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 5. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered

consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 16-20 been renumbered 17-21.

6. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the slot for rotor and a stator e.g. as set forth in claim 2 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s).

No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet"

Art Unit: 2858

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

7. Claims 1-14,17-21 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, there are no antecedent basis for "stator side", "rotor side" and "rotational angle"; it is vague as to what a resolver section is and how it modulates resolver excitation signal; what constitutes excitation signal transmitting means; how it transmits the signal; what is rotating and how rotational angle is determined;3 the scope of the claim is not clear and being incomplete amounting to a gap between the elements. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. No structural connection or relationship is set forth between the claimed elements;

In claim 2, lines 3,5, the subject matter in parenthesis should be deleted;
In claim 3, it is unclear as to whether the coils, cores claimed are the same as of claim 2 or different;

In claims 4,17, there is no antecedent basis for "rotor shaft" or "case";

Art Unit: 2858

In claim 5, the first para "wherein said stator comprises...on the rotor" does not make sense since it is already in claim 3; thus the structure as claimed is vague since it is unclear if all elements as claimed are the same or different from that of claim 3; are coils in second para same as the coils in the first para?

In claim 6, are coils at line 3 same as coils in claim 5 or different? The phrase "it is possible" is awkward;

In claims 8,9,10, the scope of the claim is unclear; this claim depends on claim 5, the limitations do make sense; perhaps dependency is incorrect;

In claims 11-13, these claims are method claims that are dependent upon apparatus claims.

In claim 14, it is vague as to whether rotor; slot; iron core are the same as of claim 2; what 2-phase coils are; how they structurally cooperate with other elements of the resolver.

In claim 17, the subject matter in parenthesis should be deleted; this applies to all claims; it is unclear as to whether the coils claimed in claim 17 are the same as of claim 2 or different;

In claims 7,19-20, the phrase "it is possible" is awkward; there are no antecedent basis for "number of pole pairs" and "number of slots"; parenthesis should be removed; it is unclear as to whether rotor/stator or iron cores have slots;

Art Unit: 2858

In claim 21, this claim depends upon claim 8 that is duplicate of claim 21;

Appropriate correction is required.

8. Due to the informal nature of the claims as noted above, no meaningful

search can be performed at this time. Thus, an art rejection is not being applied

at this time. However, any subsequently presented claims, in definite form will be

subject to rejection on art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to JAY M. PATIDAR whose telephone number is

(571)272-2265. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 8:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Patrick Assoud can be reached on 571-272-2210. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2858

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jay M. Patidar/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2858